TEXTILE MANUFACTURING

Heat, Moisture and Uniformity

The Engineering of Drying Across the Textile Value Chain

Kerone Research & Development Centre

August 2026

An Industry Measured in Heat

Textile manufacturing is, at almost every stage, a thermal process wearing a fashion label. Fibre has to be opened, spun, woven or knitted, dyed, washed and dried before it becomes yarn, fabric or a finished garment, and heat touches many of those stages. In India, the textile market is estimated at approximately USD 158.23 billion in 2026 and is projected to reach USD 213.75 billion by 2034. The sector supports more than 45 million jobs and is estimated to contribute about 2.3 percent of GDP. [1] In FY2025-26, India's textile and apparel exports were approximately INR 309,484 crore; including handicrafts, the figure was INR 325,339 crore. [2] These figures underline the scale of the sector, but they do not by themselves describe how much energy is consumed by drying, which varies substantially by process and product.

Global fibre production has almost doubled over the past two decades. Textile Exchange reported 58 million tonnes in 2000 and 113 million tonnes in 2021, with production projected to reach about 149 million tonnes by 2030 under a business-as-usual trajectory. [3] A substantial share of textile products passes through wet processing at one or more stages, creating a continuing need to remove moisture before subsequent handling, finishing, packaging or use. Drying therefore remains an important process step wherever water is deliberately introduced or retained, particularly in dyeing, washing, finishing and yarn-package operations.

Where the Energy Actually Goes

One commonly cited breakdown of textile-mill energy use assigns approximately 34 percent to spinning, 23 percent to weaving, 38 percent to chemical or wet processing, and the remaining 5 percent to utilities and miscellaneous loads. [4] This is a representative sectoral breakdown rather than a universal energy balance; the actual distribution depends on the mill configuration and product mix. Spinning and weaving are dominated by electrical drives, motors and production machinery, while wet processing typically has a much higher thermal demand because water and process liquors must be heated and, in later stages, moisture must be removed from the product.

In fossil-fuel-based wet processing, a significant share of the useful process heat can be lost in steam generation and distribution before it reaches the machine. Studies of textile process heat report steam-system losses of roughly 25-30 percent in some operating conditions. [5] The specific loss depends on boiler efficiency, condensate return, distribution distance, insulation and operating practice. This is why drying efficiency cannot be considered in isolation from mechanical dewatering, heat recovery and the design of the plant's overall thermal system. In the China, Japan and Taiwan textile-manufacturing economies examined by Global Efficiency Intelligence, heating typically represents more than half of total energy demand. [6]

The Many Physical Forms a Dryer Has to Handle

Unlike many process industries, a textile dryer rarely sees one uniform product. Material can arrive as loose stock straight after carding, as combed sliver or top, as yarn wound into hanks, as yarn wound onto cones, cheeses or packages, as warp sheets on a beam, as open-width or rope-form fabric, or as nonwoven web. Each format holds and releases water differently, and each carries a different risk profile if drying goes wrong.

Immediately after dyeing, residual moisture can be high. For wool hanks, published textile-processing guidance gives examples of approximately 0.75 kg of water per kg of dry fibre after dyeing, illustrating why mechanical extraction is an important first step. [7] Centrifugal hydro-extraction can reduce residual moisture substantially before thermal drying; in wool yarn applications, values around 35-40 percent moisture content have been reported after suitable centrifugal extraction. [8] The remaining moisture must then be removed primarily through evaporative drying, with heat supplied by the

selected drying technology. The exact starting moisture depends on fibre, dyeing route, package geometry, extraction equipment and operating conditions.

Conventional equipment handles these different forms in several ways: hot-air tunnels and cabinet dryers for loose stock and hanks, cylinder or perforated-drum systems for some fabric applications, and static or rotary ovens for packages and other batch loads. Stenter frames combine drying with width-setting and heat-setting for woven and knitted fabrics, helping control dimensional stability while removing moisture. These systems largely rely on convection or conduction: heat is supplied to the product through a heated air stream or heated surface, and moisture then moves from inside the material toward the evaporating surface through a combination of liquid and vapour transport mechanisms. In dense yarn packages, the distance between the core and the surface can be significant, which contributes to longer drying times and the risk of surface overdrying before the core reaches its target condition.

Convection Against Dielectric Heating

The alternative to heating the surrounding air first is to generate heat within the material by dielectric means. Radio-frequency and microwave drying use oscillating electromagnetic fields to produce volumetric heating in materials containing water and other polar constituents. Industrial RF systems operate at frequencies such as 13.56, 27.12 or 40.68 MHz, while industrial microwave systems commonly operate in the GHz range. [9] Because the electromagnetic field can couple directly with moisture inside the product, heating is not limited to the outer surface, although penetration depth, field distribution and heating uniformity depend strongly on frequency, dielectric properties, load geometry and equipment design.

A useful consequence of dielectric heating is that it can provide a degree of moisture-profile correction. Wetter regions generally couple more strongly with RF or microwave energy than drier regions, so the process can help address a non-uniform moisture distribution as drying progresses. [9] In a yarn package, for example, the core may retain more moisture than the outer layers after mechanical extraction. Dielectric heating can therefore reduce the need to rely solely on long residence times and aggressive surface heating to guarantee that the wettest region is finished. It is not a fully self-correcting process, however: electrode geometry, load arrangement, power density and product properties still influence uniformity and must be engineered carefully.

RF systems are particularly well established for relatively dense textile loads such as yarn packages, tops and other forms in which volumetric penetration is valuable. Textile RF equipment is also available for loose stock, tow, sliver, hanks and selected fabric applications. [10] Microwave drying can also provide volumetric heating, but its suitability depends strongly on the material, dimensions, dielectric properties and operating frequency. Hybrid systems that combine RF with controlled air circulation are used to remove evaporated moisture and manage product temperature; for example, Stalam reports electricity savings of up to 25 percent for a specific RF/forced-air TCRF configuration compared with standard RF technology. [11] Such figures are equipment- and application-specific, not universal guarantees.

What Actually Changes on the Production Floor

The practical differences between convection and dielectric drying are best considered in terms of moisture uniformity, drying time, energy use, equipment footprint and product quality. Dielectric systems can provide rapid internal heating and controlled final moisture in suitable applications, reducing the need to extend the cycle simply to compensate for a slow core-drying phase. The magnitude of any improvement depends on the starting moisture profile, product geometry, loading density, RF or microwave configuration and target final moisture. Published equipment data and case studies should therefore be treated as application-specific evidence rather than as universal performance benchmarks.

Shorter drying times can also influence equipment footprint. When the required residence time is reduced, a dielectric dryer may achieve a given duty with a more compact process arrangement than a conventional air system designed around long contact times and extensive conveying or festooning. The actual footprint advantage depends on throughput, product form, loading arrangement, air-handling requirements and whether the dielectric system is batch or continuous.

On product quality, controlled dielectric drying can reduce the risk of excessive surface heating and prolonged thermal exposure in suitable textile applications. RF package-drying literature and wool-processing guidance describe benefits such as controlled final moisture and reduced risk of overdrying, while equipment suppliers also report improved suitability for products that are sensitive to prolonged thermal treatment. [8][10][11] Actual effects on dye migration, yellowing, fibre strength, hairiness or dimensional change depend on the fibre, blend, package density, moisture profile and process conditions. The technology can be applied across natural, regenerated and synthetic fibres, but performance must be established for the specific substrate and production duty rather than assumed from the fibre name alone.

Water, Emissions and the Push to Electrify

Textile processing's environmental footprint is closely linked to its thermal and water footprint. Widely cited industry estimates place global textile-related water consumption at roughly 79 billion cubic metres in a particular reference year; this should be treated as a historical estimate rather than a current 2026 measurement. [12] Water intensity also varies greatly by fibre, process route and degree of recycling or reuse, so a single litres-per-kilogram figure should not be interpreted as a universal lifecycle water footprint. On emissions, published estimates commonly place emissions from textile production at around 1.2 billion tonnes of CO2e annually, while broader estimates covering the clothing lifecycle have been reported at about 3.3 billion tonnes CO2e. [13] The boundary used in each estimate matters when comparing numbers.

Because thermal demand in wet processing is closely related to the amount of water carried into the dryer, reducing that water load before evaporation is itself an efficiency measure. Mechanical dewatering through centrifugal extraction, improved squeezing or drainage, and recovery of heat from hot effluent can reduce the thermal duty imposed on the dryer. These measures should be considered alongside drying technology selection rather than treated as separate projects. In practical plant engineering, the lowest-cost kilogram of water to evaporate is the kilogram that has already been removed mechanically.

On the decarbonisation side, Global Efficiency Intelligence's analysis of textile-manufacturing economies in China, Japan and Taiwan found that around 75 percent of the modelled CO2-emissions reduction potential in 2050 comes from electrifying three processes: drying, dyeing and heat-setting (stenter). [6] This is a scenario result for the economies and assumptions studied, not a universal percentage for every textile plant. The study also emphasises that plant-level results depend on the local electricity mix and the technologies selected. Industrial heat pumps, electric process equipment and other electrification routes therefore need to be assessed against process temperature, product requirements, electricity pricing and grid carbon intensity.

Where This Leaves the Drying Stage

Put together, the pressures on textile drying are converging rather than competing. Textile producers are being asked to improve energy and water efficiency while maintaining colour consistency, dimensional stability and fibre integrity. The practical engineering response is not a single universal dryer, but a sequence of decisions: remove as much water mechanically as practical, recover useful heat where feasible, choose a drying method suited to the material and moisture profile, and control the process so that the wettest region reaches target moisture without unnecessarily overexposing the rest of the load.

None of this makes conventional convection drying obsolete. Hot-air, cylinder and stenter-based systems remain appropriate and economical for many high-volume fabric and finishing applications, and stenters still combine drying with width-setting and heat-setting functions that a standalone dielectric dryer may not replace. The role of RF and microwave technologies is better understood as an additional engineering option for applications where volumetric heating, shorter residence times, controlled moisture or reduced thermal exposure provide a meaningful process advantage. For any new installation or retrofit, the final selection should be based on trials, moisture and energy measurements, product quality requirements, throughput, capital cost and local utility conditions.

References

1. IMARC Group — "India Textile Market Size, Share, Trends & Forecast (2026-2034)." imarcgroup.com, 2026.
2. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Textiles — "Promotion of Textile Exports." DGCIS provisional data, 28 July 2026. pib.gov.in
3. Textile Exchange — "Preferred Fiber & Materials Market Report 2022." textileexchange.org, 2022.
4. eTextile Communications / sector energy literature — representative textile-mill energy-use breakdowns by production stage.
5. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory — industrial decarbonisation roadmap material covering steam generation and distribution losses in textile wet processing.
6. Global Efficiency Intelligence — "Electrification of Heating in the Textile Industry: A Techno-Economic Analysis for China, Japan, and Taiwan." 2022; revised 2024.
7. European Commission Joint Research Centre — "Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for the Textiles Industry (TXT BREF)."
8. The Woolmark Company — technical guidance on wool dyeing and RF drying of yarn packages.
9. ASHRAE Handbook — dielectric heating and drying principles; RF and microwave process-heating characteristics.
10. Stalam — technical literature on radio-frequency drying of yarn packages, tops, loose stock and hanks. stalam.com
11. Stalam — TCRF textile RF dryers using RF energy with forced-air circulation; reported electricity savings up to 25% versus standard RF technology. stalam.com
12. Industry water-footprint estimates compiled in textile sustainability literature; figure referenced as a historical global estimate rather than a current 2026 measurement.
13. UN / parliamentary and textile-industry environmental assessments covering textile-production and broader clothing-lifecycle greenhouse-gas emissions.
14. Sayam, M. et al. — "Water and Electricity Consumption Patterns with Effluent Quality in the Textile Processing Industry of Bangladesh." RSC Advances, 2025.
15. Global Efficiency Intelligence — technical analysis of textile process electrification and end-use heating pathways.
16. India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF) — "Indian Textiles and Apparel Industry Analysis." ibef.org, 2026.
17. Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Textiles — textile-sector and export press releases, 2026. pib.gov.in.