Calorific Value of Fuels

A Technical Review for Industrial Energy Systems, Biomass Processing, and Ethanol Production

Table of Contents

Prepared for: industrial energy professionals, process engineers, EPC contractors, plant designers, energy consultants, and industrial decision-makers.

Abstract

Calorific value is the engineering parameter that determines how much usable thermal energy a fuel releases on combustion. It governs the sizing of boilers, furnaces, dryers, gasifiers, and combined heat and power systems, and it underpins fuel-cost comparisons, combustion-efficiency calculations, and emissions estimates. This white paper reviews the scientific basis of calorific value, the internationally recognised methods used to measure and estimate it, the factors that cause it to vary between fuels, and its practical significance for biomass processing and ethanol production. Fossil fuels, biomass, and renewable liquid fuels are compared on a consistent basis, with particular attention to moisture content, since this is the single largest source of variation in as-received fuel energy.

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
HHV	Higher Heating Value
LHV	Lower Heating Value
GCV	Gross Calorific Value
NCV	Net Calorific Value
ASTM	ASTM International
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
IEA	International Energy Agency
CHP	Combined Heat and Power
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
MJ	Megajoule
GJ	Gigajoule

Abbreviation	Description
BTU	British Thermal Unit
kWh	Kilowatt-hour

Executive Summary

Industrial thermal processes — drying, evaporation, calcination, distillation, and steam generation — account for roughly 70–75% of industrial energy demand, spanning process temperatures from below 100°C to above 1,500°C. Calorific value, also called heating value, quantifies the heat released during complete combustion of a fuel. Higher Heating Value (HHV) assumes the water formed during combustion is fully condensed and its latent heat recovered; Lower Heating Value (LHV) assumes this water leaves as vapour, which is the condition in most industrial equipment.

Fuel energy content depends on elemental composition: carbon and hydrogen release heat on oxidation, while oxygen, moisture, and ash reduce the usable energy available. Biomass fuels are typically oxygen-rich and moisture-rich compared with fossil fuels, so their calorific value is markedly lower on an as-received basis, and moisture reduction through drying is the most effective lever for improving their practical energy yield. Ethanol, as a partially oxidised fuel, has roughly 60% of the gravimetric energy content of petrol, which has direct implications for storage, transport, and blending economics.

Laboratory bomb calorimetry remains the reference method for measuring HHV, while empirical correlations such as Dulong's formula and the Channiwala–Parikh equation provide rapid estimates from elemental (ultimate) analysis. Both approaches, used together with proximate analysis (moisture, ash, volatile matter, fixed carbon), give engineers the information needed to select fuels, size combustion equipment, and manage industrial energy costs.

This paper is structured to move from underlying scientific principles through measurement and estimation methods to the practical factors that determine calorific value in real fuels, concluding with comparative data across fossil, liquid, biomass, and gaseous fuels and their implications for industrial applications, biomass drying, and ethanol production.

1. Introduction

Energy conversion from stored chemical form into usable heat underlies nearly all industrial processing, from steam generation to biomass drying. As industries diversify their fuel mix to include natural gas, coal, biomass, bioethanol, and other renewable fuels, calorific value provides the common basis for comparing them.

Calorific value is defined as the heat released during complete combustion of a unit quantity of fuel under specified conditions. It directly informs:

- Sizing of boilers, furnaces, and industrial dryers
- Fuel consumption and cost estimation
- Burner and combustion-system design
- Biomass preprocessing and drying requirements
- Renewable-fuel evaluation and carbon-emission assessment

Historically, industrial energy relied on coal, then progressively on petroleum products and natural gas as combustion efficiency and infrastructure improved. Renewable fuels — biomass, bioethanol, biodiesel, hydrogen — are more variable in moisture, composition, and density than fossil fuels, making accurate calorific value determination increasingly important for reliable plant operation.

Engineers evaluating fuels must weigh combustion behaviour, emissions, storage characteristics, safety, and equipment compatibility alongside purchase cost. Calorific value serves as the common, standardised basis for making these comparisons across fuels of very different chemical composition and physical state, and it is the starting point for nearly every subsequent calculation in combustion-system design.

2. Global Energy Landscape and Industrial Heat Demand

Industrial activity accounts for approximately one-third of global final energy consumption, and process heat represents the largest share of that industrial use (International Energy Agency, *World Energy Outlook 2025*). Fossil fuels — oil, coal, and natural gas — still supply nearly four-fifths of global primary energy, with the remainder split between hydropower, nuclear, wind, solar, and bioenergy:

Energy Source	Approximate Global Share
Oil	30–32%
Coal	26–28%
Natural gas	23–25%
Hydropower	6–7%
Nuclear	4–5%
Wind	3–4%
Solar	2–3%
Bioenergy and other	8–10%

Typical industrial process temperatures vary widely by sector:

Industry	Typical Process Temperature
Food processing	60–250°C
Pharmaceuticals	50–200°C
Textile drying	80–180°C
Chemical processing	100–600°C
Pulp and paper	120–350°C
Ceramics	900–1,400°C
Steel manufacturing	1,200–1,700°C
Cement production	1,400–1,500°C

Higher-temperature processes generally require fuels of greater energy density and more stable combustion characteristics, which is why calorific value is a primary consideration during process design and equipment selection.

Industrial heat is generated from three broad fuel categories. **Fossil fuels** (natural gas, coal, diesel, heavy fuel oil, LPG) offer high calorific value, mature infrastructure, and well-understood combustion behaviour, set against carbon emissions and price volatility. **Biomass** (wood chips, sawdust, rice husk, bagasse, agricultural residues) is renewable and can lower net greenhouse gas emissions when sustainably sourced, but typically brings lower calorific value, higher moisture, and greater variability, which raises the importance of drying, size reduction, and quality control before combustion. **Emerging renewable fuels** — bioethanol, biodiesel, renewable natural gas, green hydrogen, and synthetic methane — each bring distinct combustion properties, energy densities, and storage requirements that must be assessed individually rather than assumed from the fossil fuel they are intended to displace.

Global decarbonisation commitments are accelerating this diversification: electrification of lower-temperature heating, expansion of renewable electricity, increased biomass utilisation, hydrogen infrastructure development, and carbon capture are all under way across industrial sectors. Combustion-based thermal systems, however, are expected to remain necessary for high-temperature applications for the foreseeable future, keeping calorific value assessment central to industrial energy planning even as the fuel mix evolves.

Fuel energy density varies substantially by type, which affects storage volume, transport cost, and boiler sizing:

Fuel	Approximate LHV (MJ/kg)
Hydrogen	120
Methane	50
Petrol	43
Diesel	42

Fuel	Approximate LHV (MJ/kg)
Ethanol	27
Dry wood	15
Wet biomass	6–12

Because a significant share of combustion energy in wet biomass is consumed evaporating moisture rather than delivering process heat, moisture reduction is one of the most cost-effective efficiency measures available to industrial energy users.

3. Fundamentals of Combustion and Thermodynamics

Energy exists in several interconnected forms in industrial systems — chemical (stored in fuel molecular bonds), thermal (steam, heated air), mechanical (turbines, pumps), electrical (motors, instrumentation), and radiant (infrared heating, solar) — but industrial combustion is concerned specifically with converting chemical energy into thermal energy.

Combustion converts chemical energy stored in molecular bonds into thermal energy through oxidation. Because combustion products (mainly CO_2 and H_2O) hold less chemical energy than the original fuel and oxygen, the difference is released as heat, consistent with the First Law of Thermodynamics: energy is conserved and redistributed into useful heat, stack losses, radiation losses, and losses from incomplete combustion.

Heat reaches an industrial process through three mechanisms: **conduction** (through solids, e.g. furnace walls, governed by Fourier's Law, $q = -kA(dT/dx)$), **convection** (via moving gases or fluids, dominant in most drying operations, governed by Newton's Law of Cooling, $q = hA(Ts - Tf)$), and **radiation** (electromagnetic transfer, governed by the Stefan–Boltzmann Law, $q = \varepsilon\sigma A(Ts^4 - Tsur^4)$, and dominant above roughly 700°C in flames and kilns).

Heat and temperature are frequently confused but describe different physical quantities: temperature indicates how hot a material is, while heat is the energy actually transferred because of a temperature difference. A burner flame may exceed 1,800°C, yet only part of that thermal energy is transferred to the process material — equipment and process design, not flame temperature alone, determine how much of a fuel's calorific value becomes useful heat.

Industrial combustion calculations use **enthalpy** ($H = U + PV$) rather than internal energy because most systems operate near constant pressure. A negative enthalpy change identifies an exothermic reaction: combustion of methane, for example, releases approximately 890 kJ/mol under standard conditions, calculated from the standard enthalpies of formation of the reactants and products via Hess's Law — the total enthalpy change of a reaction depends only on the initial and final states, not on the reaction pathway, which lets engineers compute combustion heat without tracking every intermediate reaction step.

Complete combustion requires sufficient oxygen, effective mixing, adequate temperature, and sufficient residence time, and yields CO_2, H_2O, nitrogen, and heat with minimal carbon monoxide. Incomplete combustion — from insufficient oxygen or poor mixing — produces carbon monoxide, soot, and unburned hydrocarbons, wasting fuel and increasing emissions and equipment fouling.

Every fuel requires a defined stoichiometric quantity of oxygen for complete combustion; because air is only about 21% oxygen, industrial systems must supply a correspondingly larger volume of air. Industrial burners typically operate with excess air above the stoichiometric requirement to ensure complete combustion and flame stability, though excessive excess air reduces efficiency because the additional nitrogen absorbs heat that is then lost up the stack:

Equipment	Typical Excess Air
Gas burners	5–15%
Oil burners	10–20%
Coal furnaces	20–40%
Biomass combustors	30–60%

The adiabatic flame temperature — the theoretical maximum if no heat escaped and combustion were perfectly complete — is approximately 2,200–2,400°C for hydrogen, 1,950–2,050°C for methane, and 1,400–1,800°C for biomass, though actual flame temperatures are always lower because of radiation losses, excess air, and moisture. Modern industrial combustion systems commonly achieve 85–95% combustion efficiency depending on fuel quality, burner technology, and heat recovery.

4. Higher and Lower Heating Value

Hydrogen in a fuel combines with oxygen to form water, which may leave the system as liquid or as vapour. **Higher Heating Value (HHV)**, equivalent to Gross Calorific Value (GCV), assumes this water is fully condensed and its latent heat recovered — the condition measured in a bomb calorimeter. **Lower Heating Value (LHV)**, equivalent to Net Calorific Value (NCV), assumes the water leaves as vapour with no latent heat recovery — the condition in most industrial boilers, furnaces, and dryers, whose stack temperatures (typically 120–450°C) remain well above the water dew point. The GCV/NCV and HHV/LHV terminology pairs are used interchangeably across different national standards and industries; coal and mining specifications typically favour GCV/NCV, while combustion and mechanical engineering literature more often uses HHV/LHV — the underlying definitions are identical.

The difference between HHV and LHV equals the latent heat carried by the water associated with combustion:

$LHV = HHV - [(9H + M) \times h_{fg}]$

where H is the hydrogen mass fraction of the fuel, M is inherent moisture (kg per kg fuel), and h_fg is the latent heat of vaporisation of water (approximately 2.44 MJ/kg at 25°C). Because each kilogram of hydrogen produces roughly 9 kg of water on combustion, hydrogen-rich fuels show the largest HHV–LHV gap:

Fuel	HHV (MJ/kg)	LHV (MJ/kg)	Difference
Hydrogen	142	120	~15%
Methane	55.5	50.0	~10%
Petrol	47	44	~6%
Diesel	45.5	42.5	~7%
Ethanol	29.7	26.8	~10%
Dry wood	20	18	~10%

Worked example — methane. For methane, HHV ≈ 55.5 MJ/kg and the hydrogen mass fraction is approximately 0.25. Water formed is 9 × 0.25 = 2.25 kg per kg of fuel; the associated latent heat is 2.25 × 2.44 = 5.49 MJ/kg; so LHV = 55.5 − 5.49 ≈ 50.0 MJ/kg, matching published data.

Correctly identifying which basis is in use matters: a condensing boiler evaluated against LHV can show an apparent efficiency above 100%, which reflects latent heat recovery rather than a violation of the First Law. Laboratory testing normally reports HHV; most industrial equipment performance is reported on LHV. Selection guidance:

Application	Preferred Basis
Laboratory fuel testing / bomb calorimetry	HHV
Coal purchasing contracts	HHV (GCV)
Conventional industrial boilers, furnaces, dryers	LHV
Gas turbines and internal combustion engines	LHV
Condensing boilers	Both, reported separately

5. Fuel Chemistry and Estimation of Calorific Value

Calorific value originates in a fuel's elemental composition. Carbon and hydrogen are the principal energy-bearing elements; oxygen represents partial pre-oxidation and reduces net heating value; nitrogen is essentially inert but influences NO_x formation; sulphur contributes modestly to heat release but drives SO_x emissions.

Ultimate analysis reports the elemental mass percentages of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulphur, and ash, and is the basis for stoichiometric and calorific value

calculations. **Proximate analysis** reports moisture, volatile matter, fixed carbon, and ash, and predicts ignition behaviour, flame characteristics, and burnout time. The two analyses are complementary: proximate analysis explains how a fuel burns; ultimate analysis explains why. A representative comparison across fuel types illustrates the underlying trend:

Parameter	Wood (dry)	Bituminous Coal	Anthracite
Carbon (%)	48–52	70–86	86–95
Hydrogen (%)	5–7	3–6	2–3
Oxygen (%)	38–45	5–15	2–5
Volatile matter (%)	70–85	20–40	3–10
Fixed carbon (%)	10–20	45–70	80–90

As the table shows, the fuels with the lowest oxygen and highest fixed carbon consistently show the highest calorific value, which is the practical expression of the chemistry set out above.

Interpreting laboratory reports. When reviewing a certificate of analysis, engineers should check three things before using the reported figure: which basis the value is reported on (as-received, dry, or dry ash-free — since the same fuel can show HHV differences of several MJ/kg depending on basis alone); whether the figure is HHV or LHV; and the date and method of sampling, since biomass and coal composition can vary meaningfully between deliveries even from the same source. A single historical test result should not be assumed to represent an ongoing fuel supply without periodic verification.

Where laboratory testing is not yet available, **Dulong's formula** (P. L. Dulong, 1838) gives a rapid first estimate of HHV from ultimate analysis:

$HHV = 0.338C + 1.428(H - O/8) + 0.095S$ (MJ/kg, with C, H, O, S as mass percentages)

The term $(H - O/8)$ accounts for hydrogen already chemically bound to oxygen within the fuel, which is not available to react with combustion air. Dulong's equation was developed for coal and performs best for carbon-rich fuels; modern correlations extend its accuracy to a wider range of fuels, notably the **Channiwala–Parikh correlation** (2002):

$HHV = 0.3491C + 1.1783H + 0.1005S - 0.1034O - 0.0151N - 0.0211A$ (MJ/kg)

which adds nitrogen and ash terms and was validated against thousands of experimental observations across coal, biomass, petroleum fuels, and municipal solid waste, and the **Sheng–Azevedo correlation** (2005), developed specifically for lignocellulosic biomass. Typical agreement between Dulong estimates and bomb-calorimeter measurements is within 2–5% for coal and dry biomass, with larger deviations for heterogeneous fuels such as refuse-derived fuel and sewage sludge, where mineral-matter interactions and moisture variability are harder to capture in a simple elemental correlation.

Worked example — bituminous coal. For a coal with carbon 72.0%, hydrogen 5.2%, oxygen 10.5%, and sulphur 1.3% (dry basis): carbon contribution = 0.338 × 72.0 = 24.34; effective hydrogen = 5.2 − (10.5/8) = 3.89, contributing 1.428 × 3.89 = 5.55; sulphur contributes 0.095 × 1.3 = 0.12. Estimated HHV = 24.34 + 5.55 + 0.12 ≈ 30.0 MJ/kg, consistent with typical bomb-calorimeter values of 29–31 MJ/kg for high-grade bituminous coal.

An earlier refinement, **Boie's equation**, added a nitrogen term (HHV = 0.3516C + 1.16225H − 0.1109O + 0.0628N + 0.10465S) and improved on Dulong's accuracy for petroleum products and processed fuels, though it still performs less well for high-ash biomass than the Channiwala–Parikh correlation. Because no single empirical equation is optimal across every fuel type, the appropriate choice depends on the fuel category being assessed: Dulong or Boie for coal-like fuels, Channiwala–Parikh for a broad mixed portfolio, and Sheng–Azevedo where the feedstock is predominantly lignocellulosic biomass.

Empirical equations are appropriate for preliminary screening, feasibility studies, and biomass characterisation. Bomb calorimetry remains the reference method for fuel contracts, regulatory reporting, and equipment performance guarantees. As a general rule, agreement between Dulong estimates and measured values is closest for coal and dry woody biomass and weakest for heterogeneous fuels such as refuse-derived fuel and sewage sludge, where mineral matter and moisture variability are harder to capture in a simple elemental correlation.

6. Laboratory Determination: Bomb Calorimetry

Oxygen bomb calorimetry, developed by Marcellin Berthelot in the late nineteenth century and building on the Lavoisier–Laplace ice calorimeter of the 1780s, is the internationally accepted reference method for measuring Gross Calorific Value, with typical uncertainty below ±0.1–0.2%. Berthelot's innovation was to combust the fuel sample inside a sealed vessel filled with high-pressure oxygen rather than ordinary air, ensuring complete oxidation and eliminating the heat losses that limited earlier open calorimeters; the same fundamental principle remains in use in modern automated instruments.

A weighed fuel sample is combusted in a sealed stainless-steel vessel charged with high-purity oxygen at 25–35 bar, immersed in a precisely measured volume of water. The temperature rise of the water is measured with high-resolution sensors, and the heat released is calculated as:

$$\mathbf{Q = C \times \Delta T}$$

where C is the calorimeter's energy equivalent (heat capacity), determined by calibration against certified benzoic acid (certified heat of combustion approximately 26.454 MJ/kg), and ΔT is the corrected temperature rise. Corrections are applied for the heat contributed by the ignition wire and for nitric/sulphuric acid formed during combustion.

Moisture and ash — the two principal non-combustible fractions of a solid fuel — are determined separately from calorific value. Moisture is measured by oven-drying a representative sample at 105 ± 2°C to constant mass; ash is determined by combusting the dried sample in a muffle furnace at approximately 750 ± 25°C until only inorganic residue remains. Both are reported as a percentage of sample mass and, together with the measured calorific value, form the minimum data set needed to characterise a solid fuel for industrial use.

Laboratory safety. Bomb calorimetry involves high-pressure oxygen (25–35 bar) and an exothermic reaction inside a sealed vessel, so laboratories rely on pressure-rated vessels, over-pressure protection, secure locking mechanisms, and routine inspection of seals and fittings before each use. Operators follow documented procedures for oxygen charging, ignition, and post-test venting, and combustion residues are inspected after every run to confirm complete combustion before the result is accepted.

Standard test methods include ASTM D5865 (coal and coke), ASTM D4809 (liquid hydrocarbon fuels), and ISO 1928 (solid mineral fuels). Laboratories operating to ISO/IEC 17025 maintain calibration traceability, evaluate measurement uncertainty, and report results with a stated confidence level (commonly ±2 standard uncertainties, k = 2, for approximately 95% confidence).

Measurement uncertainty. No laboratory measurement is free of uncertainty. Contributors include sample mass, temperature measurement, the calorimeter's energy equivalent, and the various correction factors applied. Combined uncertainty is typically estimated by the root-sum-of-squares method and reported as an expanded uncertainty at a stated coverage factor — for example, HHV = 28.45 ± 0.08 MJ/kg (k = 2), indicating approximately 95% confidence that the true value lies within the stated range. Laboratories distinguish **repeatability** (agreement between results from the same operator, instrument, and laboratory over a short period) from **reproducibility** (agreement between results from different laboratories, operators, or instruments), and monitor both through control charts and periodic proficiency testing.

Calorimeter types. Adiabatic calorimeters actively match the surrounding jacket temperature to the vessel to minimise heat exchange with the environment and give the highest accuracy, and are typically used in research laboratories. Isoperibol calorimeters hold the jacket at a constant temperature and correct mathematically for the resulting heat exchange, offering high repeatability at lower cost and wide use in industrial laboratories. Static-jacket calorimeters use a fixed water jacket without active control, giving simpler operation and lower maintenance at the cost of larger environmental corrections, and are common for routine quality control testing.

Standard test sequence. A typical determination follows: representative sample collection; particle-size reduction (below 212 µm for coal, below 1 mm for biomass); moisture-controlled conditioning; precise weighing (0.8–1.2 g for coal, 0.5–1.0 g for biomass, on a balance reading to 0.0001 g); placement in the crucible with the ignition wire attached; bomb assembly and oxygen charging; immersion in the calibrated water bucket;

baseline temperature stabilisation; ignition; recording of the temperature rise to equilibrium; and application of standard corrections before calculating gross calorific value. Duplicate testing and periodic calibration verification against certified benzoic acid form part of routine laboratory quality control.

7. Factors Affecting Calorific Value

Moisture is the single largest factor reducing usable calorific value in solid fuels. Water absorbs energy in heating, vaporising, and superheating during combustion, none of which is recoverable in a non-condensing system. Fuel moisture occurs as **free moisture** (adhering to particle surfaces, removed by simple air-drying or mechanical drainage) and **bound moisture** (held within the cellular or molecular structure, requiring higher drying temperatures and longer residence time to remove) — a distinction that is particularly relevant when sizing biomass dryers. Biomass moisture ranges from roughly 8–15% for rice husk and wood pellets to 45–55% for fresh bagasse and 60–85% for sewage sludge; drying before combustion is therefore one of the most effective efficiency measures available.

Ash is the inorganic residue remaining after combustion and contributes no energy. High-ash fuels (rice husk, 15–25%; lignite, up to 30%) displace combustible material, absorb heat, and increase slagging, fouling, and maintenance requirements.

Volatile matter (gases released on heating in the absence of oxygen) governs ease of ignition and flame development; biomass is typically 60–85% volatile matter versus 20–40% for bituminous coal. **Fixed carbon**, the solid combustible residue remaining after volatiles are driven off, governs burn duration and sustained heat release; anthracite (80–90% fixed carbon) and coke (85–95%) burn slowly with high radiant output, while biomass (10–20% fixed carbon) ignites quickly but burns out fast.

Bulk density and particle size affect the amount of energy that can be stored and transported per unit volume, and the rate of drying, ignition, and combustion. Densifying loose biomass into pellets (bulk density 600–750 kg/m^3) versus raw wood chips (180–350 kg/m^3) can more than double volumetric energy density without changing the fuel's intrinsic HHV.

Sensitivity summary. The net effect of composition on calorific value can be summarised directly:

Change	Effect on Calorific Value
Carbon increases	Significant increase
Hydrogen increases	Moderate to large increase
Oxygen increases	Decrease
Sulphur increases	Slight increase
Moisture increases	Lower usable (as-received) energy

Change	Effect on Calorific Value
Ash increases	Lower effective energy density

Carbon has the largest influence in most solid fuels simply because it typically represents the largest mass fraction; hydrogen contributes disproportionately per unit mass but is present in smaller quantities.

Storage conditions matter for hygroscopic fuels: biomass readily reabsorbs atmospheric moisture, and prolonged storage can allow biological degradation that reduces both mass and calorific value. Fine, moist biomass stored in large, poorly ventilated piles is also prone to self-heating and, in extreme cases, spontaneous combustion, so stockpile management and temperature monitoring form part of routine biomass-handling practice.

Worked example — energy density. Wood chips at 19 MJ/kg HHV and 250 kg/m^3 bulk density store approximately 19 × 250 = 4,750 MJ/m^3. The same wood compressed into pellets at 680 kg/m^3 stores 19 × 680 ≈ 12,920 MJ/m^3 — roughly 2.7 times more energy per unit volume, despite an unchanged intrinsic HHV. This is why densification materially reduces storage footprint and transport cost even though it does not change the fuel's underlying chemistry.

Elemental evolution. As biomass matures geologically into coal (peat -> lignite -> sub-bituminous -> bituminous -> anthracite) or is thermally upgraded (torrefaction -> pyrolysis -> carbonisation), its atomic hydrogen-to-carbon and oxygen-to-carbon ratios both fall as moisture and oxygen are progressively removed and carbon concentrates. This is the same underlying trend, whether it occurs over geological time or inside an industrial reactor, and it is the reason both older coals and thermally upgraded biomass products (torrefied biomass, biochar) show consistently higher calorific value than their untreated precursors.

8. Comparative Calorific Values of Major Fuels

Solid fossil fuels. Calorific value rises through the coalification sequence peat -> lignite -> sub-bituminous -> bituminous -> anthracite, as carbon concentration increases and oxygen and moisture decrease.

Fuel	HHV (MJ/kg)	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)
Peat	14–20	20–60	5–20
Lignite	15–22	25–45	6–20
Sub-bituminous coal	20–27	10–30	5–15
Bituminous coal	24–35	5–15	5–20
Anthracite	30–36	2–6	5–12
Petroleum coke	31–35	<2	<1

Metallurgical coke (28–31 MJ/kg, produced by heating coking coal at 900–1,100°C in the absence of oxygen) and petroleum coke (31–35 MJ/kg, a refinery by-product with very low ash) sit at the high end of solid-fuel energy density and are widely used in cement kilns, blast furnaces, and calcination processes where sustained high-temperature output is required.

Liquid fuels. Petroleum-derived liquids offer the highest volumetric and gravimetric energy density among commercial fuels, while oxygenated bio-liquids trail because of their partially oxidised chemistry.

Fuel	HHV (MJ/kg)	Density (kg/m^3)
Petrol	46–47	720–780
Diesel	45–46	820–860
Kerosene / Jet fuel	~46	780–840
Heavy fuel oil	40–42	930–1,010
Biodiesel (FAME)	39–41	860–900
Ethanol	29.7	789
Methanol	22.7	792
Pyrolysis (bio-) oil	16–19	1,100–1,250

Ethanol's calorific value of roughly 30 MJ/kg — about 63% of petrol's — is a direct consequence of its 34.7% oxygen content, and is the reason ethanol-blended fuels require a larger volume to deliver the same thermal output as pure petrol.

Gaseous fuels. On a mass basis, gaseous fuels span a wide range depending on hydrogen content and dilution:

Fuel	LHV (MJ/kg)	LHV (MJ/Nm^3)
Hydrogen	120	~10.8
Methane (natural gas)	50	~36
LPG (propane/butane)	46	~90–120
Biogas (60% CH_4)	~21	~21
Producer / syngas	4–6	4–6

Biomass fuels. Woody and agricultural biomass span 14–21 MJ/kg on a dry basis; moisture at harvest can more than halve the as-received value until the fuel is dried.

Fuel (dry basis)	HHV (MJ/kg)	Typical Moisture as Harvested (%)
Wood chips / hardwood	18–21	30–60
Wood pellets	18–20	6–10

Fuel (dry basis)	HHV (MJ/kg)	Typical Moisture as Harvested (%)
Rice husk	14–16	8–20
Bagasse	17–19	45–55
Wheat straw	17–18	15–20

Case comparison. A tonne of bituminous coal (HHV ~30 MJ/kg, ~10% moisture) delivers roughly 27 GJ of gross energy; a tonne of dry wood pellets (HHV ~19 MJ/kg, ~8% moisture) delivers roughly 17.5 GJ; and a tonne of ethanol (HHV ~29.7 MJ/kg) delivers roughly 29.7 GJ. On a pure energy-content basis, coal and ethanol are broadly comparable per tonne, while raw biomass requires proportionately more mass — and more storage and transport capacity — to deliver the same thermal output, reinforcing why densification and drying are central to biomass fuel economics rather than optional refinements.

9. Biomass as an Industrial Fuel

Biomass is oxygen-rich (35–48% oxygen versus under 20% for most coals) and typically moisture-rich, which explains its comparatively low as-received calorific value against fossil fuels. Because a substantial share of combustion energy in wet biomass evaporates internal moisture rather than heating the process, industrial drying is central to using biomass efficiently: reducing moisture from, for example, 50% to 10% substantially raises effective calorific value, reduces transport mass, and improves combustion stability and burnout.

Thermal upgrading extends this principle further:

Process	Typical Temperature	Effect
Torrefaction	200–320°C, no oxygen	Removes moisture and light volatiles; HHV rises from ~17–20 to ~20–24 MJ/kg; improves grindability
Pyrolysis	300–700°C, no oxygen	Yields biochar, bio-oil, and syngas; biochar HHV substantially exceeds parent biomass
Carbonisation	400–1,000°C	Maximises fixed carbon; produces high-stability biochar or coke-like material

Each stage progressively removes oxygen and moisture while concentrating carbon, producing a fuel that behaves increasingly like low-rank coal in storage stability, grindability, and energy density.

Drying technologies. Because moisture removal has such a direct effect on usable calorific value, the choice of industrial dryer materially affects downstream combustion economics. Rotary dryers suit bulk granular or fibrous biomass at moderate throughput; fluidised-bed dryers give rapid, uniform drying for fine particulate material; flash (pneumatic) dryers handle high-moisture feedstock requiring short residence time; and belt dryers suit heat-sensitive material needing gentle, controlled drying. Matching dryer technology to feedstock moisture, particle size, and heat sensitivity is as important to overall plant energy economics as the calorific value of the fuel being dried.

10. Ethanol as a Renewable Energy Carrier

Ethanol (C_2H_5OH) has an HHV of approximately 29.7 MJ/kg and LHV of approximately 26.8 MJ/kg — around 60–65% of petrol's energy content by mass, a direct consequence of its 34.7% chemically bound oxygen. This lower energy density means a larger fuel volume is required for equivalent thermal output, which affects blending ratios, storage sizing, and distribution logistics wherever ethanol is used as a fuel or fuel additive.

Ethanol recovery and purification are themselves thermally intensive processes: distillation and dehydration require precise, efficient heat input, making the calorific value and combustion behaviour of the process fuel — whether biomass, biogas, or fossil-derived — a material factor in the operating economics of ethanol production facilities. Because ethanol is hygroscopic and its combustion behaviour differs from petrol (lower flame temperature, higher latent heat of vaporisation), blending ratios, storage materials, and burner or engine calibration must all be matched to its specific calorific and combustion properties rather than assumed from petrol data.

Worked example — drying economics. Biomass at 45% moisture and 18 MJ/kg HHV (dry basis) delivers only around 8.6 MJ/kg as received, since roughly 45% of its mass is water that must first be evaporated at the expense of combustion heat before any useful process heating occurs. Drying the same material to 10% moisture raises the as-received energy content to approximately 15.3 MJ/kg — a near-doubling of usable energy per tonne delivered to the boiler, illustrating why pre-drying is typically far more cost-effective than oversizing combustion equipment to compensate for wet fuel.

Fermentation and distillation columns require close, stable temperature control, and the reboiler duty needed to drive a distillation train is calculated directly from the calorific value and moisture content of the fuel supplying it. Variability in fuel quality — a common issue with biomass or biogas process fuel — translates into variability in steam supply and, in turn, distillation performance, which is one of the practical reasons ethanol producers monitor fuel calorific value as a routine operating parameter rather than a one-off specification check.

11. Industrial Applications and Fuel Selection

Calorific value informs equipment sizing (boilers, furnaces, dryers, gasifiers, incinerators), fuel-consumption and cost estimation, burner design, and thermal-efficiency calculation. Because fuels of similar cost per tonne can differ substantially in cost per unit of useful energy, industrial fuel comparisons should be made on a cost-per-gigajoule basis rather than cost per unit mass.

Typical equipment and their governing fuel-selection criteria:

Equipment	Primary Calorific Value Consideration
Rotary and fluidised-bed dryers	LHV as-received (moisture-adjusted); burner turndown range
Industrial boilers	LHV; sulphur content for emissions compliance
Kilns and calciners	High, stable HHV; flame temperature and radiant output
Gasifiers	Volatile matter and fixed carbon balance; moisture tolerance
Incinerators / waste-to-energy	Variable HHV; ash and chlorine content

Fuel selection should weigh calorific value alongside moisture, ash, sulphur content, handling characteristics, combustion behaviour, and emissions requirements. Fuel blending — for example, coal with biomass, or biomass with refuse-derived fuel — is widely used to balance calorific value, ash behaviour, ignition characteristics, and cost.

Cost comparison on an energy basis. Because fuels of similar purchase price per tonne can differ substantially in usable energy content, meaningful comparisons require calculating cost per gigajoule of delivered energy (accounting for moisture-adjusted LHV) rather than comparing cost per tonne directly. A fuel with a lower price per tonne but high moisture or ash content can be more expensive per unit of useful heat than a nominally costlier, drier alternative.

A practical fuel-selection review should cover: calorific value on both HHV and LHV bases; moisture and ash content and their variability; sulphur and nitrogen content relative to emissions limits; bulk density and transport cost per unit energy; combustion behaviour (ignition, flame stability, burnout) relative to the intended burner or furnace; and supply reliability and price stability over the planning horizon.

In dryer and thermal-processing plant design specifically, calorific value determines burner duty and combustion-air volume for a given evaporation load, while fuel moisture and ash content influence maintenance intervals and turndown behaviour. For biomass-fired

dryers, pre-drying or blending high-moisture feedstock with a drier fraction is a standard method of stabilising combustion and reducing fuel consumption per tonne of moisture removed. For ethanol recovery and distillation systems, consistent fuel calorific value directly affects reboiler duty control and steam economy, making fuel quality monitoring a routine part of plant operation.

12. Environmental Considerations

Fuel selection has direct emissions consequences. Higher-carbon fuels release more CO_2 per unit mass burned, though emissions per unit of *useful* energy depend on combustion efficiency and moisture content as much as on raw carbon content. Sulphur in coal and heavy fuel oil forms SO_x; fuel-bound and thermal nitrogen contribute to NO_x at flame temperatures above roughly 1,300°C. Biomass, where sustainably sourced, offers lower net lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions, though its higher moisture and ash content can reduce combustion efficiency relative to fossil fuels unless the fuel is properly dried and prepared.

Low-sulphur fuel selection, flue-gas desulphurisation, and combustion-air optimisation remain the principal levers available to operators for controlling SO_x and NO_x from thermal processing plant, alongside routine maintenance of burners and heat-transfer surfaces to sustain high combustion efficiency over the equipment's operating life. Carbon accounting and life-cycle assessment of thermal processes increasingly require calorific value data reported on a consistent HHV or LHV basis, since mixing the two bases produces systematic errors in reported emissions intensity.

Indicative CO_2 emission factors illustrate the range across common industrial fuels:

Fuel	Approximate CO_2 Emission Factor ($kg\ CO_2/GJ$)
Natural gas	~56
Diesel	~74
Heavy fuel oil	~77
Bituminous coal	~94
Biomass (sustainably sourced)	Considered near-zero net, on a lifecycle basis

These factors are indicative only and vary with fuel-specific carbon content and combustion conditions; actual reporting should draw on fuel-specific test data and the applicable national or sectoral emission-factor guidance.

13. Future Trends

Industrial decarbonisation is driving continued diversification of fuel portfolios toward biomass, renewable natural gas, green hydrogen, and sustainable liquid fuels, alongside

electrification of lower-temperature heating and growing use of waste heat recovery. Combustion-based thermal systems are expected to remain necessary for high-temperature industrial processes for the foreseeable future, which keeps accurate calorific value assessment central to industrial energy planning. Machine-learning approaches to calorific value prediction from compositional data are an active area of research and show promise for improving on traditional empirical correlations, though they require large, high-quality training datasets and remain a complement to, rather than a replacement for, laboratory measurement.

As fuel portfolios broaden, engineers will increasingly need to compare fuels with very different moisture behaviour, combustion characteristics, and supply chains on a single, consistent energy basis. This makes disciplined, correctly labelled calorific value reporting — not just accurate laboratory measurement — an operational necessity rather than a formality.

14. Quick Reference

Fuel	HHV (MJ/kg)	LHV (MJ/kg)	Typical Moisture
Hydrogen	142	120	—
Natural gas (methane)	55.5	50.0	—
LPG	50	46	—
Petrol	47	44	<2%
Diesel	45.5	42.5	<0.1%
Anthracite	30–36	29–35	2–6%
Bituminous coal	24–35	23–34	5–15%
Ethanol	29.7	26.8	—
Dry wood / wood pellets	18–21	16–19	6–15%
Lignite	15–22	13–20	25–45%
Wet biomass (as harvested)	14–20	12–18	40–60%

15. Data Quality and Reporting

Reliable use of calorific value data depends on consistent reporting practice. Every reported figure should state clearly: whether it is HHV/GCV or LHV/NCV; the analytical basis (as-received, dry, or dry ash-free); the test method or standard applied; and, where relevant, the measurement uncertainty. Mixing figures from different bases or standards without correction is one of the most common sources of error in fuel comparison, boiler

efficiency calculation, and emissions reporting, and can produce misleading conclusions even when the underlying laboratory data are individually correct.

Fuel specifications and purchase contracts should state the calorific value basis explicitly, along with permissible ranges for moisture, ash, and sulphur, so that commercial and technical teams are working from the same reference point. Where a fuel supply is variable — as is common with biomass and waste-derived fuels — periodic re-testing rather than reliance on a single historical figure gives a more reliable basis for combustion system operation and cost control.

16. Conclusion

Calorific value is the foundation on which industrial fuel selection, combustion-system design, and energy-cost analysis rest. Higher Heating Value and Lower Heating Value must be clearly distinguished and consistently applied; elemental composition explains why fuels differ so widely in energy content; and moisture is, in practice, the largest lever available for improving the usable energy delivered by biomass fuels. Laboratory bomb calorimetry remains the reference measurement, complemented by empirical correlations such as Dulong's formula and the Channiwala–Parikh correlation for rapid estimation. As industrial energy systems diversify toward renewable fuels, accurate and consistently reported calorific value data will remain essential to reliable plant design and operation.

Appendix A: Key Standard Test Methods

Standard	Scope
ASTM D5865	Gross calorific value of coal and coke
ASTM D4809	Heat of combustion of liquid hydrocarbon fuels
ASTM D3172	Proximate analysis of coal and coke
ASTM D3176	Ultimate analysis of coal and coke
ASTM D3175	Volatile matter in coal and coke
ISO 1928	Gross calorific value by the bomb calorimetric method
ISO 18125	Solid biofuels — determination of calorific value
ISO 1171	Solid mineral fuels — determination of ash
ISO/IEC 17025	Competence of testing and calibration laboratories

Glossary of Key Terms

Calorific value — the heat released during complete combustion of a unit quantity of fuel.

HHV / GCV — Higher Heating Value / Gross Calorific Value; assumes full condensation and recovery of water vapour's latent heat.

LHV / NCV — Lower Heating Value / Net Calorific Value; assumes water leaves as vapour with no latent heat recovery.

Ultimate analysis — laboratory determination of a fuel's elemental composition (C, H, O, N, S, ash).

Proximate analysis — laboratory determination of moisture, volatile matter, fixed carbon, and ash.

Bomb calorimetry — the reference laboratory method for measuring gross calorific value by combusting a fuel sample in pressurised oxygen and measuring the resulting temperature rise.

Stoichiometric combustion — combustion using exactly the theoretical air/oxygen requirement, with no excess or deficiency.

Excess air — combustion air supplied above the stoichiometric requirement, used to ensure complete combustion and flame stability.

Torrefaction — mild thermal pre-treatment of biomass (200–320°C, oxygen-free) that removes moisture and light volatiles to raise energy density.

References

1. International Energy Agency (IEA). *World Energy Outlook 2025*. Paris: IEA.
2. International Energy Agency (IEA). *Energy Efficiency 2025*. Paris: IEA.
3. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). *Renewable Energy Statistics 2025*. Abu Dhabi.
4. ASTM D5865 — *Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke*.
5. ASTM D4809 — *Standard Test Method for Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels*.
6. ASTM D3172 — *Standard Practice for Proximate Analysis of Coal and Coke*.
7. ASTM D3176 — *Standard Practice for Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Coke*.
8. ISO 1928 — *Solid Mineral Fuels — Determination of Gross Calorific Value by the Bomb Calorimetric Method*.
9. ISO 18125 — *Solid Biofuels — Determination of Calorific Value*.
10. ISO/IEC 17025 — *General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories*.
11. Dulong, P. L. (1838). *Recherches sur la chaleur développée dans les combustions.*

12. Channiwala, S. A., & Parikh, P. P. (2002). “A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels.” *Fuel*, 81(8), 1051–1063.
13. Sheng, C., & Azevedo, J. L. T. (2005). “Estimating the higher heating value of biomass fuels from basic analysis data.” *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 28(5), 499–507.
14. Çengel, Y. A., & Boles, M. A. *Thermodynamics: An Engineering Approach*. McGraw-Hill.
15. Turns, S. R. *An Introduction to Combustion: Concepts and Applications*. McGraw-Hill.
16. Speight, J. G. *The Chemistry and Technology of Coal*. CRC Press.
17. Basu, P. *Biomass Gasification, Pyrolysis and Torrefaction*. Academic Press.
18. Van Loo, S., & Koppejan, J. *The Handbook of Biomass Combustion and Co-firing*. Earthscan.
19. Van Krevelen, D. W. *Coal: Typology, Chemistry, Physics, Constitution*. Elsevier.
20. Demirbaş, A. *Biomass Resource Facilities and Biomass Conversion Processing for Fuels and Chemicals*. Elsevier.
21. Parr Instrument Company. *Oxygen Bomb Calorimetry Manual*.
22. U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). *International Energy Outlook*. Washington, D.C.
23. World Coal Association. *Coal Facts and Statistics*.
24. Perry, R. H., & Green, D. W. *Perry’s Chemical Engineers’ Handbook*.
25. ISO 50001 — *Energy Management Systems — Requirements with Guidance for Use*.
26. IPCC. *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (2019 Refinement).
27. ASME. *Performance Test Codes for Steam Generating Units*.
28. Babcock & Wilcox. *Steam: Its Generation and Use*.
29. Kaliyan, N., & Morey, R. V. (2009). “Factors Affecting Strength and Density of Densified Biomass Products.” *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33(3), 337–359.
30. Obernberger, I., & Thek, G. *The Pellet Handbook*. Earthscan.